

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/942,130	MCCLURE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kim T. Huynh	2112

All Participants:

(1) Kim T. Huynh, uspto.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Phillip Warn.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed the restriction/election of the application, Applicant elected Invention II, claims 2-3 without traversed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MARK H. RINEHART

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)